

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,648	08/07/2006	Ryo Sakurai	Q96393	9259
23373 7590 08/17/2010 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAM	IINER
2100 PENNSYI, VANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		KUMAR, SR	KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/588,648		SAKURAI ET AL.	
		Art Unit	
SRILAKSHMI K. KUMAR		2629	
×	*		

This is in resonned to the	Pro-Annoal Brief D	equest for Review	filed 0 June 2010	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 9 June	2010.
 Improper Request – The F reason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference	will not be held for the following
The request does not inc	s not been filed concurrent with the Pre lude reasons why a review is appropri is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief re	ate.
	nse continues to run from the receipt of mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal h	
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal b brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pr der appeal because there is at least or rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. In from mailing this decision, or the bal tice of appeal, whichever is greater. F 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail dat able.	ne actual issue for appeal. Applicant The time period for filing an appeal ance of the two-month time period urther, the time period for filing of the
☐ The panel has determin Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from co	ed the status of the claim(s) is as follo . nsideration:	ws:
	conference has been held. The reject ution on the merits remains closed. N	
	conference has been held. The rejectic action is required by applicant at this t	
All participants:		
(1) Sumati Lefkowitz.	(3)Amr Awad.	
(2) Srilakshmi K Kumar.	(4)	
/S. L./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629	/S. K. K./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629	/A. A./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office		Part of Paper No. 20100809